1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	71.00	1	480.00	5
3.	Assam	7.00	1	183.00	4
4.	Bihar	0.00	0	0.00	0
5.	Delhi	0.00	0	0.00	0
6.	Goa	0.00	0	0.00	0
7.	Gujarat	0.00	0	45.00	1
8.	Haryana	32.80	4	47.8065	6
9.	Himachal Pradesh	0.00	0	93.135	8
10.	Jammu and Kashmir	0.00	0	0.00	0
11.	Karnataka	0.00	0	42.60	2
12.	Kerala	0.00	0	8.44	2
13.	Madhya Pradesh	18.00	1	219.265	11
14.	Maharashtra	45.08	2	419.23	10
15.	Manipur	0.00	0	92.838	6
16.	Meghalaya	0.00	0	0.00	0
17.	Mlzoram	59.59	1	0.00	0
18.	Nagaland	45.00	1	1041.01	9
19.	Orissa	0.00	0	10.75	2
20.	Punjab	0.00	0	0.00	0
21.	Rajasthan	23.00	1	46.293	3
22.	Sikkim	0.00	0	0.00	0
23.	Tamilnadu	50.52	2	195.2	9
24.	Tripura	0.00	0	0.00	0
25.	Uttar Pradesh	83.00	4	68.015	6
26.	West Bengal	0.00	0	0.00	0
27.	Chhattisgarh	0.00	0	0.00	0
28.	Jharkhand	0.00	0	0.00	0
29.	Uttaranchal	0.00	0	0.00	0
30.	Andaman and Nicobar Islands	0.00	0	0.00	0
31.	Chandigarh	0.00	0	0.00	0

1	2	3	4	5	6
32.	Dadar and Nagar Haveli	0.00	0	0.00	0
33.	Daman and Diu	0.00	0	0.00	0
34.	Pondicherry	0.00	0	0.00	0
35.	Lakshadeep	0.00	0	0.00	0
	TOTAL	480.00	19	3077.4025	90

Statement-II

State-wise details of central assistance released under the scheme of grants for promotion of sports in universities and colleges from 2005-2006 *to* 2006-2007 *(as on* 31.3.2007*)*

Rs. in lakhs

Sl.	State/UT	2005-2006		2006-2007 No.	
		Amount released	No. of UNI/Colleges	Amount released	No. of UNI/Colleges
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Andhra Pradesh	5.00	1	0.00	0
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	0.00	0	0.00	0
3.	Assam	0.00	0	0.00	0
4.	Bihar	0.00	0	0.00	0
5.	Chhattisgarh	0.00	0	0.20	1
6.	Goa	0.00	0	0.00	0
7.	Gujarat	0.00	0	0.00	0
8.	Haryana	0.00	0	3.775	1
9.	Himachal Pradesh	0.00	0	0.00	0
10.	Jammu and Kashmir	0.00	0	0.00	0
11.	Jharkhand	0.00	0	0.00	0
12.	Karnataka	12.00	1	47.20	5
13.	Kerala	0.00	0	0.00	0
14.	Madhya Pradesh	0.00	0	0.00	0
15.	Maharashtra	20.85	2	22.53	8
16.	Manipur	0.00	0	0.00	0
17.	Mizoram	0.00	0	0.00	0

1	2	3	4	5	6
18.	Meghalaya	0.00	0	0.00	0
19.	Nagaland	0.00	0	0.00	0
20.	Orissa	0.00	0	0.80	3
21.	Punjab	10.00	1	19.64	3
22.	Rajasthan	0.00	0	0.30	1
23.	Tamil Nadu	17.12	1	61.25	5
24.	Tripura	0.00	0	0.00	0
25.	Uttar Pradesh	45.00	3	10.60	4
26	Uttaranchal	0.00	0	0.60	2
27	West Bengal	0.00	0	0.60	2
28	Delhi @	40.03	1	43.20	1
29	UTs- Chandigarh	0.00	0	0.00	0
	TOTAL	150.00	10	210.695	36

Utilisation of funds for NHs in Orissa

*252. SHRI RAMA CHANDRA KHUNTIA: Will the Minister of SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the funds allocated for National Highways (NHs) from Panikoili to Rajamunda and Chandhal Chhak to Dhubari and Angul in Orissa could not be utilized because of lack of interest of his Ministry; and

(b) the details of the total amount sanctioned?

THE MINISTER OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS (SHRI T.R. BAALU): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The details of the amount sanctioned sicne 2004-05 are given in the Statement.

Statement

The details of the amount sanctioned for NHD in Orissa

Sl. No.	National Highway No.	No. of works sanctioned since 2004-05 till date for implementation by the Government of Orissa	Sanctioned Cost (Rs. in Lakh)
1	2	3	4
1.	23 (Pitri Junction to Banerpal—Length 15.85 km)	4	2295.86

1	2	3	4
2.	42 (Banerpal to Angul—Length 11.90 km)	1	596.70
3.	200 (Chandikhole to Pitri junction via Dhuburi—Length 126.14 km)	1	284.73
4.	215 (Panikoili to Rajamunda-Length 269 km)	31	16948.09

Loss due to non-implementation of the contract

*253. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether according to the Electricity Act and Indian Electricity Rules, 1956, depillaring operations/penetration depth in coal mining is allowed only upto 250 meters;

(b) if so, whether Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd. (SCCL) has allowed a private company to penetrate upto 390 meters, violating the Act/Rules, and the guidelines of the Director General Mining Safety (DGMS);

(c) whether violation of Act/Rules has caused delay resulting in loss of several crores of rupees to Government exchequer for non-implementation of the contract;

(d) if so, the complete details of loss so far and whether Government would probe the matter through CVC/CBI; and

(e) if not, reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA): (a) There is no such restriction stipulated in the Indian Electricity Act (IEA) and Indian Electricity Rules (IER), 1956, regarding depillaring operations/penetration depths in coal mining.

(b) to (d) All Coal mining operations, whether departmental/outsourced are carried out in accordance with the provisions of Indian Electricity Act, Indian Electricity Rules, 1956 and where applicable with the specific permission of Directorate General of Mines Safety.

(e) Does not arise in view of the replies given to parts (a) to (d) above.

Remarks of China on Arunachal Pradesh

*254. DR. JANARDHAN WAGHMARE:
SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA:

Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether China has, recently, rejected India's assertion that Arunachal Pradesh is its integral part;

(b) if so, the reaction of Government on such remarks of the Chinese Government;

(c) whether China has also stated that they never recognized the Mc Mohan Line and that the status of the border State was never officially demarcated;